

Q1. The cover page of the RFP identifies this contract vehicle is a rated order under DPAS CRF 700, but there is not a specific DPAS Rating identified in the "Rating" box. I checked the specific task order PWS to see if DPAS ratings were addressed in each task order separately, but didn't find any reference to DPAS ratings. Does the contracting office know what DPAS rating the subsequent award vehicle for NGC2P Tech Refresh will have?

A1. RFP Cover Page, Block 1, "This contract is a rated order under DPAS (15 CRF 700)," will have a DPAS Rating of DO-A7. See Amendment 0003.

Q2. Lab Tour Slides: On June 26 and 28 June 2012, PMW-150 and SSC PAC provided an opportunity for offerors' to tour the Combined Test Bed (CTB). Would you consider providing the introductory slides presented by the Lab Manager from the June 26 and June 28 lab tours?

A2. The Government will not be providing the presentation that was given during the lab tours. The information in the presentation is not considered necessary for the preparation of a proposal.

Q3. Deliverables: Would you consider offerors' providing the Work Breakdown Structure, Integrated Master Plan, and Integrated Master Schedule as appendices for each Technical Proposal?

A3. Yes.

Q4. Manuals: Will the government provide documents that describe functions and block diagrams or schematics for each of the identified obsolete devices in the RFP?

A4. The NGC2P Technical Refresh White Paper contains high-level descriptions of the obsolete components. The government has also posted the Tech Manual (Technical Manual Operations and Maintenance Instructions Organizational Level AN/UYQ-86(V) Common Data Link Management System (CDLMS)) for the CDLMS/NGC2P to the NESI site. The Tech Manual contains additional information on the obsolete components as well as basic block diagrams, functional block diagrams, local area network diagrams etc. for the CDLMS/NGC2P. No additional documentation will be provided.

Q5. Graphic Font Sizes: Our graphics fonts team normally uses 8 and 9 point fonts for graphics. Would you consider allowing us to use a smaller font size than 12 point for graphics? Is 8 and 9 point fonts acceptable for graphics?

A5. See A12 on Q&A Set 2. The formatting instructions in Section L, Clause L-317, (b)(1)(C) and (D) apply to all text submitted for evaluation, including figures, graphics and tables.

Q6. In the Subject Solicitation Amendment 0002, Question & Answer Set #3 and a revised Attachment 4 - GFI Listing, it was stated that the latest versions of the CDLMS Tech Manual/Operations and Maintenance Instructions were posted to the NESI site. To date they are not posted in the NESI site and it appears that there have been no new posting since 05 June 2012. Can you have these latest materials posted/provided as soon as possible and advise when available?

A6. The two documents in question are now posted to the NESI site.

Q7. Relative to the NGC2P Tech Refresh solicitation there have been several Q&A related to overlap, concurrent effort, etc. between Task order 1 & Task order 2. We understand they need to be stand-alone & independent proposals however relative to TO 2 is it fair to assume that TO1 is going to be awarded? Is it feasible that TO2 can be awarded without TO1 having been awarded concurrently or prior to TO2? There are numerous instances where TO1 design activities are predecessors/dependencies to the TO 2 effort and we want to be sure our proposal reflects the correct assumption.

A7. Per Section B clause 5252.216-9218 MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM QUANTITIES, it is fair to assume that PTO#1 is going to be awarded concurrent with award of the basic IDIQ contract. This is the intended method for meeting the minimum guarantee of the contract. The Gov't does not intend to award PTO#2 without PTO#1 having been awarded concurrently or prior to TO2.