

Q1. Factor 1: Past Performance: Page 50 of the Final RFP, it states 'Experiences may be submitted for any team member, however, the number of experiences cited for any given team member should be commensurate with the proportion of effort they are envisioned to perform on this solicitation.'

- a. Would you consider one Past Experience form which covers all of the small businesses contributing to the proposal?
- b. Would you consider a single Past Experience form where the prime contractor and a supplier combine to discuss each company's experiences on one topic?

A1. a. Each Relevant Experience Form is to represent a single contract experience. A Form encompassing several separate contract experiences would not be considered responsive.

A1.b. See answer to A1.a. If your question involves a single contract experience where the prime and supplier were teammates, the Gov't would evaluate your submission according to provision M-307, Factor 1.

Q2. Field Change Kits: For the proposal development, can we expect the field change kits to be the same for all ships or are there anticipated variations of field change kits to develop?

A2. FCK variations are anticipated. Paragraphs 4.4 and 4.5 of the overarching IDIQ PWS identifies that the contractor shall develop Technology Refresh Field Change Kits and Link 22 Field Change Kits as specified on individual task orders. Potential Task Order 1 Par 4.4 identifies that Technology Refresh Field Change Kits require design of militarized and non-militarized field change kits. Potential Task Order 2 Para 4.5 identifies that the contractor shall develop the requirements and the design for Field Change Kits that can be used to modify existing NGC2P systems to support the requirements of the NGC2P Link-22 Baseline.

Q3. Page Limits: In the Final RFP, there are page limits for Factor 1 (Past Performance) and Factors 2 & 3 (Prospective Task Order 1 and 2).

- a. For Factor 4 (Small Business Subcontracting), we did not see a page limit. Is there a page limitation in the Final RFP?
- b. For Volume III, Contractual, does not state page limit. Is there a page limitation in the Final RFP?

A3.a. There is no page limitation for Volume I, Factor 4.

A3.b. There is no page limitation for Volume III.

Q4. Request the Government provide the CDLMS Tech Manual/Operations and Maintenance Instructions as GFI.

A4. RFP Attachment 4 – Government Furnished Information (GFI) Listing is updated in Amendment 0002 to include these documents. The latest versions of the CDLMS Tech Manual/Operations and Maintenance Instructions are posted to the NESI site.

Q5. Proposal Section Development: While developing our proposal sections, we noticed that some subsections located within the Task Order 1 and Task Order 2 proposals were identical. Would you consider allowing contractors to place identical subsections for both proposals to be listed in just the proposal for Task Order 1 and have the identical subsection for the Task Order 2 proposal point to the section in Task Order 1? For example, have the following text in the Task Order 2 proposal section: See Task Order 1, Section X.Y.Z for our offering on {Section title}.

A5. No. Each proposed response to Factors 2 and 3 must be complete and stand alone.

Q6. Proposal Deliverables: The Task Order Work Statements call out certain deliverables such as Work Breakdown Structure, Integrated Master Plan, and Integrated Master Schedule. We believe these deliverables are not part of the proposal to be delivered on July 19th. Is this correct? If these documents are part of the proposal to be delivered on July 19th, then are they to be included in the Task Order #1 and #2 proposals? Do these documents count against the 25 page limit for the proposal?

A6. See Q&A Set #2, A6. Per Section L, Clause L-317, (b)(3)(A), 2., the CWBS/IMP/IMS for Factors 2 and 3 shall be submitted with the Technical Volume for each factor. These deliverables are not included in the page limitations for Factors 2 and 3. See Amendment 0002.