

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
1	B-312 Minimum and Maximum Quantities	Is the \$502,000,000 the ceiling for each contractor or for the entire contract (all awardees)?	Yes, the \$502,000,000 is the maximum quantity for each contract.
2	SOW 2.0 Applicable Documents	To allow for a fair and open competition, can the government provide copies of the non-public applicable documents prior to release of the RFP?	Supporting attachments and reference documents are available at https://nserc.navy.mil/peo_c4i/pmw_160/CALI/default.aspx . Please see NSERC registration instructions posted on the SPAWAR e-Commerce site.
3	Section B	Section B of the Draft RFP has line items for Production and CCE as well as several others. Is it the intent that the bidders will provide a quote for all of the production and CCE products for the full 778 systems as part of their proposal?	The Government does not expect Offerors to pre-price all production and Common Computing Environment products in response to the RFP. Please see Section L-316, Volume II Cost/Price and Section M-307 Cost/Price for more information on the Cost/Price proposal.
4	CCE Components	With regard to the Common Computing Environment Components, will the government be maintaining a database of these components or will each Prime contractor have to maintain their own list?	The Government will maintain and update the approved list of Common Computing Environment components.
5	CCE Components	How does a product become "approved" as a Common Computing Environment Component?	A Common Computing Environment Component is approved if it is in a TDP. A CCE component also becomes approved when the Government approves the design.
6	Section B	Should the completed tables in Section B be submitted as part of the Cost Proposal?	Section B will be provided by the Government.
7	Sample Task Pricing	Should the cost data for the two sample tasks be submitted separately from the	Please see Section L-316, Volume II Cost/Price and Section M-307 Cost/Price for

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
		costs in the B tables?	more information on the Cost/Price proposal.
8	Section B and Sample Task Pricing	Will the Cost evaluation be against the cost data for the sample tasks, the cost data in the B Tables, or both?	Please see Section L-316, Volume II Cost/Price and Section M-307 Cost/Price for more information on the Cost/Price proposal.
9	SOW 3.1.3 and CLINS 0005 and 1005	Does the government foresee a situation where a DO/TO will be contracted that only requires a MAC winner to procure and deliver CCE to the government without that CCE being integrated as part of an overall rack system?	There may be instances where individual orders will be for CCE Components but not integration of those components into the overall rack system.
10	SOW 3.1.1	Where will the operational software (e.g.; COMPOSE) be loaded into the production hardware? Will the production facility be authorized and/or required to install operational software and perform follow-on testing?	Loading and testing of operational software may be required as specified at the individual order level.
11	SOW Section 3.4.1	With regard to SOW Section 3.4.1 Program Management Office, will the Contractor be able to assign a Program Manager to each task order and will that PM be able to delegate the PM activities listed in Section 3.4.1?	Contractor(s) may assign a Program Manager to each individual order consistent with SOW paragraph 3.4.1
12	SOW Section 3.2.3	Environmental Qualification Test (EQT) / Power Qualification Test (PQT) including Grade A and B shock testing is mentioned in Sow Section 3.2.3 Test and Evaluation Support, but it is not discussed directly in the Production or Engineering SOW Sections. If necessary, will EQT	EQT and PQT, including Grade A and B classifications, will be specified at the individual order level as necessary consistent with SOW paragraph 3.2.3. Also see the Sample Engineering Task for EQT/PQT references and related applicable documents.

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
		and PQT be a part of the production and Engineering work within each TO/DO?	
13	Section E 5252.239-9618	Please provide a list of potential installation sites.	Inspection and acceptance criteria will be specified at the individual order level. Clause 5252.239-9618 will be removed from the final RFP.
14	Section E 5252.239-9618	Can the installation site be a contractor facility located near a SPAWAR facility?	Inspection and acceptance criteria will be specified at the individual order level. Clause 5252.239-9618 will be removed from the final RFP.
15	Section E 5252.239-9618	Will it be the responsibility of the prime contractor and/or winner of the TO/DO to perform installation of delivered hardware aboard ship or submarine?	System Installation is not a CALI Contract requirement. Contractor(s) may be responsible for activities to support installation as specified at the individual order level, however. Clause 5252.239-9618 will be removed from the final RFP.
16	Section E 5252.239-9618	Does this mean that a DD-250 form transferring ownership of the hardware from the contractor to the government will not occur until the systems are installed aboard the intended ship or submarine?	The DD250 or similar will be completed as the Government accepts the FFP items. Inspection and acceptance criteria will be specified at the individual order level. Clause 5252.239-9618 will be removed from the final RFP.
17	Section E 5252.239-9618	Does this mean the contractor maintains responsibility for the hardware until completion of the standard of performance test regardless of who does the installation?	In general, the Contractor(s) is responsible for all hardware until the Government accepts the FFP items. Inspection and acceptance criteria will be specified at the individual order level. Clause 5252.239-9618 will be removed from the final RFP.

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
18	Section E 5252.239-9618	Will the DO for manufacture include installation or will that be under a separate DO/TO?	System Installation is not a CALI Contract requirement. Contractor(s) may be responsible for activities to support installation as specified at the individual order level, however. Clause 5252.239-9618 will be removed from the final RFP.
19	E-301 and SOW 3.4.8	When does manufacturing "sell-off" occur to the government? Is it different from initial acceptance? What are the precipitating events that lead to final system DD Form 250 government acceptance?	Inspection and acceptance criteria will be specified at the individual order level.
20	E-303	Clause 5252.239-9618 says that "Acceptance shall take place at the installation site specified in the delivery order . . ." Clause E303 says that, "acceptance of the supplies/services to be furnished hereunder shall be made at destination . . ." Are the terms Installation Site and Destination synonymous?	The terms Installation Site and Destination are not synonymous. Inspection and acceptance criteria will be specified at the individual order level. Clause 5252.239-9618 will be removed from the final RFP.

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
21	Final Acceptance	<p>There are a number of clauses which provide requirements relating to installation, testing, inspection and acceptance. Primarily the 5252.239-9618 Standard of Performance for Inspection and Acceptance of Hardware addresses items such as Readiness Certificates, initial inspection, etc. The sequence of events from initial shipment from Contractor's facility through the various testing efforts until final acceptance isn't clear. Additionally, questions arise such as who will be responsible for installation, what is the definition of 'initial inspection', or what is the anticipated chain of custody of the equipment from initial shipment from the contractor's facility to final acceptance.</p> <p>1. A clarification is requested from the government defining the sequence of events leading up to signing of the final acceptance DD-250 which starts the formal warranty period.</p> <p>2. It is suggested that the government clearly state that the manufacturer will maintain custody of production hardware until the final DD-250 is signed by the government since manufacturers can not be expected to maintain accountability for the quality of products unless they maintain custody.</p>	<p>System Installation is not a CALI Contract requirement. Contractors may be responsible for activities to support installation as specified at the individual order level, however. Clause 5252.239-9618 will be removed from the final RFP.</p>

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
22	H-302	<p>Issue: Contractor interpretation of FAR 9.505-1 (a) allows a contractor who currently has contractual responsibility for production of a system to provide system engineering and technical direction for that system without creating an OCI.</p> <p>Issue: Contractor interpretation of FAR 9.505-1 (b) allows a contractor to create a Technical Data package (TDP) or provide recommendations regarding COTS technology Insertion without creating an OCI.</p> <p>Consequences: Interpretation of clause determines if contractor can provide systems engineering and also produce hardware.</p>	<p>H-302 is intended to follow the general rules established in FAR 9.505-1. Identification, evaluation, mitigation and avoidance procedures will be accomplished at the individual order level.</p>
23	H-302	<p>Issue: This paragraph as written would prohibit all entities of a Contractor who performs Systems Engineering or provides technical direction from pursuing business with the DoD even if that business would be provided without bias or unfair competitive advantage.</p> <p>Consequences: Limit contractor ability to work within DoD.</p>	<p>H-302 is intended to follow the general rules established in FAR 9.505-1. Identification, evaluation, mitigation and avoidance procedures will be accomplished at the individual order level.</p>

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
24	H-302	<p>Issue: This paragraph appears to be in conflict with FAR 9-505-1 (a), which states “contractor that provides systems engineering and technical direction for a system but does not have overall contractual responsibility for its development, its integration, assembly, and checkout, or its production...”.</p> <p>Issue: A Contractor who is awarded a CALI Production Task would not be able to perform on a related CALI Engineering Task.</p> <p>Consequences: This would prohibit an experienced contractor from providing engineering services and support on known hardware.</p>	<p>H-302 is intended to follow the general rules established in FAR 9.505-1. Identification, evaluation, mitigation and avoidance procedures will be accomplished at the individual order level.</p>
25	H-303	<p>Issue: Contractor participation in a task that involves preparing specifications or work statements could limit ability to participate in future competitive acquisitions.</p> <p>Consequences: Contractor could be limited in future endeavors due to work tasked under CALI.</p> <p>Issue: This paragraph as written would prohibit all entities of a Contractor who performs Systems Engineering or provides technical direction from pursuing business with the DoD even if that business would be provided without bias</p>	<p>H-303 is intended to follow the general rules established in FAR 9.505-2. Identification, evaluation, mitigation and avoidance procedures will be accomplished at the individual order level.</p>

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
		or unfair competitive advantage. Consequences: Limit contractor ability to work within DoD.	
26	H-304	Issue: This paragraph as written would prohibit all entities of a Contractor who performs Systems Engineering or provides technical direction from pursuing business with the DoD even if that business would be provided without bias or unfair competitive advantage. Consequences: Limit contractor ability to work within DoD.	H-304 is intended to follow the general rules established in FAR 9.505-4. Identification, evaluation, mitigation and avoidance procedures will be accomplished at the individual order level. H-304 will be removed from the final RFP due to there being no CALI requirement for advisory and assistance services at the present time.
27	H-302, H-303, H-304 and H-305	Upon review of the referenced Organizational Conflict of Interest (OCI) Provisions contained within the Draft RFP, Offeror respectfully recommends [new] OCI language...	L-339 is closely associated with H-302, H-303, H-304 and H-305. L-339 is intended to place the Government on notice that the offeror believes it has a potential or actual organizational conflict of interest. Identification, evaluation, mitigation and avoidance procedures will be accomplished at the individual order level. H-304 will be removed from the final RFP
28	H-305	"Existing Organizational Conflict of Interest" paragraph (b). Request clarification regarding contracts with hardware and software value-added resellers (VARs). Does this OCI restriction apply to contracts with such suppliers?	H-305 applies to the extent that a contractor or its affiliates have a material or substantial interest in a supplier (VAR or otherwise) such that the contractor would have impaired objectivity during contract performance. The mere existence of a contractor-supplier contractual relationship does not automatically create an organizational conflict of interest;

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
			however, a contractor's material or substantial interest in a supplier may
29	H-302, H-303, and H-304	Do the Organizational Conflict of Interest Clauses apply to the Hardware CLINS (CLIN0001, and 0005)? For example, if a contractor wishes to supply hardware under CLIN 0005, is it prohibited from selling similar hardware to the Department of Defense for the remaining contract period, plus one year? If so, we do not anticipate any hardware suppliers participating as either a prime contractor, or subcontractor.	H-302 and H-303 are intended to follow the general rules established in FAR 9.505-1 and FAR 9.505-2, respectively. Identification, evaluation, mitigation and avoidance procedures will be accomplished at the individual order level. H-304 will be removed from the final RFP.
30	H-302, H-303, and H-304	Do the Organizational Conflict of Interest Clauses apply to all Task Orders for services under the Services CLINS? For example, if a subcontractor provides repair services under a services CLIN (CLINs 0002-0004), is the subcontractor prohibited from selling hardware for the remaining contract period plus one year? We believe that the Government intends to acquire services under the CALI contract, such as maintenance, repair, reverse engineering, and design modifications that do not create an OCI, and for which the H-302 and H-303 clauses are inappropriate.	H-302 and H-303 are intended to follow the general rules established in FAR 9.505-1 and FAR 9.505-2, respectively. Identification, evaluation, mitigation and avoidance procedures will be accomplished at the individual order level. H-304 will be removed from the final RFP.

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
31	H-302, H-303, and H-304	If the OCI provisions do not apply to all Task Orders, how will a contractor know which task orders are covered by the OCI Clauses, H302 - H-304? We recommend that H-302 and H-303 only apply to those Task Orders where specifically called out, and that the Government tailors the clauses to shorten the length of the restriction and the agencies covered.	H-302 and H-303 are intended to follow the general rules established in FAR 9.505-1 and FAR 9.505-2, respectively. Identification, evaluation, mitigation and avoidance procedures will be accomplished at the individual order level. H-304 will be removed from the final RFP.
32	H-302, H-303, and H-304	Must a prime contractor on the CALI contract accept Task Orders that are covered by one of the OCI Clauses, or may it decline to bid on specific tasks? We recommend allowing a CALI prime contractor to "no bid" individual task orders.	H-302 and H-303 are intended to follow the general rules established in FAR 9.505-1 and FAR 9.505-2, respectively. Identification, evaluation, mitigation and avoidance procedures will be accomplished at the individual order level. H-304 will be removed from the final RFP. CALI Contractor(s) may elect to no-bid individual orders for a variety of reasons.
33	H-302, H-303, and H-304	May a prime contractor propose an OCI Mitigation plan where an affiliate that performs SETA type services implements contracting officer approved measures that mitigate the OCI and allows another affiliate to continue to supply hardware to the Department of Defense? Many potential competitors have different subsidiaries, some of which are hardware developers and manufacturers, and others which provide SETA type services to the Government.	H-302 and H-303 are intended to follow the general rules established in FAR 9.505-1 and FAR 9.505-2, respectively. Identification, evaluation, mitigation and avoidance procedures will be accomplished at the individual order level. H-304 will be removed from the final RFP.

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
34	5252.245-9402	<p>Use of Government Production and Research Property. Offeror has identified a bidder parity concern in this area noted in the referenced DRFP area. The DRFP seems to cover those situations where a contractor might/does have access to and/or will provide Rent-Free Use with their proposal and does not completely address how the Government will remove the disadvantage to those who might not....If the Government cannot provide this information to all bidders uniformly, Offeror respectfully requests the referenced clause and similar be deleted. If the Government chooses not to remove these clauses, it is recommended that an additional statement prohibiting dual use of these properties be included. By ensuring equal access and use of properties identified in the first bulleted item above, the government will ensure consistency in how offerors build employee wrap rates.</p>	<p>A list of Government Furnished Equipment will be identified at the individual order level consistent with FAR 45.2. To avoid unfair competitive advantage, the Government intends to invoke a rental equivalent evaluation factor at the order level as needed.</p>
35	H-305	<p>Due to the IDIQ nature of CALI, Offeror recommends that this clause be reserved for individual task orders and delivery orders and allow contractors to recuse themselves in the event there is an OCI that is specifically recognized.</p>	<p>Yes, all organizational conflict of interest clauses and provisions apply at the individual order level.</p>
36	252.209-7007	<p>Is it anticipated that this contract will result in Lead Systems Integrator</p>	<p>Lead Systems Integrator effort is not a current requirement under the CALI contract. Should</p>

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
		responsibilities?	such effort arise, 252.209-7007 applies.
37	52.234-4 252.239-7001 252.242-7002 252.234-7004	There are multiple EVMS clauses that overlap and conflict with each other. It is unclear if subcontractors will be required to implement an approved EVMS system. Will there be a threshold based on TO/DO value on EVMS requirements? Will there be a requirement that subcontractors implement an approved EVMS system?	Earned Value Management requirements may be specified at the individual order level consistent with DFARS 234.2. The final RFP will limit EVM requirements to DFARS provision 52.234-7001 and DFARS clause 52.234-7002
38	52.234-4 52.234-7001 52.234-7002	EVM: Use of EVM on labor level-of-effort task orders is specified on p. 6 of 120. Please verify that EVM is desired on both completion type and level-of-effort task orders.	Earned Value Management requirements may be specified at the individual order level consistent with DFARS 234.2. The final RFP will limit EVM requirements to DFARS provision 52.234-7001 and DFARS clause 52.234-7002
39	5252.245-9402	Please provide specifics on the space available, including address, square footage, environmental and availability of capital assets...	5252.245-9402 applies to Government Furnished Equipment that may be made available to the contractor as specified at the individual order level.
40	G-321 Contractor Performance Appraisal Reporting System	Clause stipulates that CPARS will be used on this contract. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the relationship between the CPARS ratings and the Award Fee Scores addressed on p. 8 of 120? • Will the CPAR be conducted on a task-order or overall contract basis? 	CPARS will be conducted on a task order basis as required by FAR 42.15 and in accordance with DoD required thresholds. Award Fee evaluations are distinct from CPARS ratings.
41	Section J List of Attachments	states that Attachment Three consists of the Small Business Subcontracting Plan and is to be inserted at contract award. Are we correct to assume that this will be	Yes, the negotiated Small Business Subcontracting Plans will be incorporated into the contract awards consistent with FAR 19.705-5.

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
		the same document we present in response to the requirement in Section L Paragraph (D)(1) on page 109?	
42	L-316	Please clarify. Is this 200 pages on 100 double sided leaves or 100 pages on 50 double sided leaves?	Page Limitation of VOLUME I, Technical, is 100 pages. "Double sided" does not apply to electronic submissions and will be removed from the final RFP. See provision L-349.
43	L-316	Please clarify. Is this 50 pages on 25 double sided leaves...	Page Limitation of VOLUME I, Technical, is 100 pages. "Double sided" does not apply to electronic submissions and will be removed from the final RFP. See provision L-349.
44	L-316 (b)(1)(ii)	Format stipulates that the Offeror's proposal shall be double-spaced. The solicitation's Relevant Experience Form, Attachment 7, asks in block 12 for Offerors to: "Provide a summary description of contract work, not to exceed one page in length." Are we correct to assume that responses inserted within the Relevant Experience Form, including the one page summary description, do not have to be double spaced?	Single spaced is acceptable. Please reference revised RFP section L-316.
45	L-316 (b)(3)(i)(D)(4)	Small Business Utilization asks for "A copy of binding agreements, enforceable commitments or letters of intent executed with the subcontractors identified in the table required by (3) above." Are we correct to assume that these attachments will not count toward Volume I's 100-page	Offerors' response to Small Business Utilization will not count towards the 100 page limit. Please reference revised RFP section L-316.

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
		limit?	
46	L-316 (b)(3)(i)(D)(1)	“Offerors are to exclude cost/price information from Volume I.” Since the Small Business Subcontracting Plan includes total contract dollar value along with other cost/price information, are we correct to assume that you only want us to identify in Volume I the percentage values for each business category and the contract as a whole; and that you want a full, comprehensive Small Business Subcontracting Plan that includes dollar values and percentages to be submitted as an attachment in Volume III Section F – Miscellaneous?	Dollar amounts/percentages on Small Business Subcontracting Plans are not considered Cost / Price Information, as Offerors are to use the maximum quantity to develop their Small Business Subcontracting Plan. The maximum quantity is common among all offerors, thus not subject to FAR 3.104.
47	L-316 (b)(3)(i)(D)(2)	requests: “A copy of the Offeror’s most recent SF294/ISR for each of the relevant contracts identified in Corporate Experience Information above. In addition, provide the Offeror’s latest DCMA Subcontracting Program Compliance Rating letter including rating narrative.” Our company’s latest DCMA Subcontracting Program Compliance Rating letter including the attached DCMA Form 640 consists of 15 pages. Are we correct to assume that the SF294/ISR and DCMA documents do not count	Small Business Utilization will not count towards 100 page limit. Please reference revised RFP section L-316.

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
		toward Volume I's 100 page limit?	
48	L-316 (b)(3)(i)(A)(4)	Is it the intent of this program to have the producing company perform all ILS documentation upgrades? If so, are all source documents available for modification in their native formats?	Contractors will be required to identify all ILS impacts resulting from the change in design baseline as part of the Enterprise Change Request process. Contractors will either be required to provide source data required for the government to perform the ILS products updates, or the vendor will be required to develop a completely new set of ILS products, depending on the level of baseline modification. Source documents will not be provided in native formats. Existing documents may be provided as reference. Specific requirements will be specified at the individual order level.
49	L-318	In Section L-318, the Cost/Price volume requires cost proposals to be provided in response to Attachment 5 "Sample Production Task" and Attachment 6 "Engineering Sample Task". In that context, how do the requirements of "Part II – Requirement For Information Other than Cost or Pricing Data" which are delineated on pages 100 and 101 apply? Was Part II included in error? If not, what work scope is to be used to determine the	For purposes of initial proposals, 52.215-20 applies to the sample engineering task only. Please see FAR 2.101 for definitions of the terms "Cost Realism" and "Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data"

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
		labor categories to be proposed for each year of the contract? Where would this information be evaluated, if it is not required for inclusion in the Cost/Price volume?	
50	52.211-8	Define the term "installation" in this clause. Does it mean the contractor who manufactures the hardware in accordance with a TO/DO will also be required to perform installation of that hardware on the target ship or submarine?	System Installation is not a CALI Contract requirement. Contractors may be responsible for activities to support installation as specified at the individual order level, however.
51	L-316	Describe the government installation process. Explain how the term "government installation" in this clause is consistent with the term "installation" in FAR 52.211-8.	System Installation is not a CALI Contract requirement. Contractors may be responsible for activities to support installation as specified at the individual order level, however.
52	Small Business Utilization	Please clarify the percentages in the table. Does the sum of the Small Disadvantaged Bus, Women –owned, HUB Zone, Veteran Owned Small Bus, and Service Disabled Veteran totaling 18% represent a portion of the 30% indicated as the Small Business Goal?	Each of these items is a subcategory of the overall small business subcontracting goal of 30%. Note that in some cases the same dollars may be reported under more than one item (e.g., SDBs owned by women or veterans).
53	M-307	Best value, Factor 3, States: "The Government will evaluate the extent to which the amount of time required from notification of contract award, to establish a capability of assuming responsibility for	Evaluation Factor # 3, Corporate Characteristics, is intended to apply to the overall scope of work and not just to the sample tasks.

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
		furnishing all supplies and services, as set forth in individual delivery orders or task orders, satisfies the breadth of the requirements in the solicitation.” Is this evaluation criteria based on the sample TO/DO’s provided or on other criteria?	
54	M-307, subfactor 1.4	Is it the intent of this program to have the same contractor manufacture, plan, attend conferences, certify, and install the supported equipment iaw the referenced documentation (i.e. SHIPMAIN, etc)?	Specific sections (i.e., the ILS sections) within the referenced documents are provided in the RFP for the offerors to use as reference. The Government intends for the Contractor(s) to meet the ILS product requirements as identified in the specific sections of the referenced documents during contract performance.
55	Training	Are the training programs for the systems listed as part of the CALI program enrolled in the US Navy's Integrated Learning Environment?	Training programs are in place for fielded systems. Some programs are ILE compliant and have courses on NKO (Navy Knowledge Online).
56	Warranty	Can you provide more details on the Contractor's responsibility with regard to warranty if the System is deployed and not at the original installation site.	The Contractor(s) will be required to honor general warranties after installation as specified at the individual order level. Also see FAR 52.246-17 and 52.246-18.
57	Warranty Travel	If the Contractor is responsible for travel cost under the warranty, will the contractor have insight into the potential geographic locations for warranty service within the warranty period?	Specific warranty requirements will be provided at the individual order level. Also see FAR 52.246-17 and 52.246-18.
58	Sample Production Task Para 2.0	To allow for a fair and open competition, can the Navy provide copies of the applicable documents prior to release of the RFP?	Supporting attachments and reference documents are available at https://nserc.navy.mil/peo_c4i/pmw_160/CALI/default.aspx . Please see NSERC registration

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
			instructions posted on the SPAWAR e-Commerce site
59	Sample Engineering Task Para 2.0	To allow for a fair and open competition, can the Navy provide copies of the applicable documents prior to release of the RFP?	Supporting attachments and reference documents are available at https://nserc.navy.mil/peo_c4i/pmw_160/CALI/default.aspx . Please see NSERC registration instructions posted on the SPAWAR e-Commerce site
60	Sample Engineering Task, para 3.1.1	Issue: Sample Engineering Task Order 3.1.1 states that the contractor "shall . . . minimize the rack's life cycle costs to the maximum extent possible". Consequences: Verification of this requirement could lead to an extensive life cycle cost analysis against parameters that the Navy has not specified in the DRFP.	Sample Engineering Task SOW 3.1.1 remains substantially unchanged. There is no requirement for an extensive life cycle cost estimate in response to L-316.
61	L-316 ILS Package	To allow for a full and open competition, in lieu of an actual approved ILS and SHIPMAIN package, is it acceptable to provide portions of an ILS package and a description of the full process and how it will be accomplished?	As stated in evaluation subfactor 1.4, the Government will evaluate the extent to which the offeror's example Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) package satisfies the US Navy Ship Maintenance (SHIPMAIN), Fleet Modernization Program (FMP), and Surface Ship and Carrier Entitled Process for Modernization (SSCEPM) requirements.
62	SOW 3.2.4 (QA)	The Contractor may be required to implement a higher level quality management system in accordance with contract clause FAR 52.246-11." Under	Higher-level contract quality requirements (beyond the typical FAR 52.246-2/3/4/5 requirements), while rare, will be specified at the individual order level as needed.

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
		what conditions will the requirement for a higher level QMS be levied?	
63	SOW 3.2.4 (QA)	"The Government reserves the right to perform QA inspections in accordance with contract clauses FAR 52.246- 2/3/4/5 as part of QA validation." Will the requirement for such inspections be called out in each TO/DO, or is this a contract-level requirement?	Quality requirements will be specified at the individual order level.
64	52.246-11	The Contractor shall comply with the higher-level quality standard selected below. Please clarify the specific application of the contract clause to the QA reference noted above.	Higher-level contract quality requirements (beyond the typical FAR 52.246-2/3/4/5 requirements), while rare, will be specified at the individual order level as needed.
65	Past Performance	It is suggested that the final RFP include a "Past Performance Questionnaire" that bidders can send to primes under whom they have performed work relevant to CALI.	Please see RFP Attachment 7, Relevant Experience Form. The burden of providing thorough and complete past performance information remains with the Offeror. The Government may initiate "Past Performance Questionnaires" as needed per L-316.
66	Inspection of Production Facilities	It is suggested that the government inspect contractor facilities prior to making contract awards. Doing so will validate bidders' claims about production capacity, factory lay-out, maturity of production processes, test facilities, condition of physical plant, etc.	Please see evaluation subfactor 2.1. Currently the Government does not intend to visit/inspect offerors' production facilities. If, after receipt of proposals, the Government requires additional information on offerors' production facilities, such information may be obtained in accordance with FAR 15.306
67	Technical Data Packages	Will existing Technical Data Package be made available to all suppliers? Are there any TDPs which are considered	This information will be provided at the individual order level.

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
		proprietary?	
68	CDRLs	The CDRLs list seems incomplete. For shipboard installation drawings, ICDs, system specifications, etc..would normally be submitted via CDRL. Will suppliers have CDRLs prior to award and what time frame?	The basic contract has one CDRL, the Monthly Status Report. CDRLs for additional deliverables, such as drawing packages, will be specified at the individual order level.
69	System Access	Will potential bidders have access to key main system such as SubLAN AN/USQ-177B, AN/USQ-148A(V)5 - BCA, AN/USQ-153D(V)X, etc.? For upgrades, can bidders check out and initial qualification/integration? If yes, where will these systems be located?	For purposes of the initial RFP, there will be no access to individual systems. However, the Government may grant access at the individual order level. Supporting attachments and reference documents are available at https://nserc.navy.mil/peo_c4i/pmw_160/CALI/default.aspx . Please see NSERC registration instructions posted on the SPAWAR e-Commerce site
70	52.215-20	The Labor Cost Matrix is under the heading "Applicable to Cost Volume - Engineering Sample Task" and (b) states that "The cost proposal shall be submitted in accordance with the sample task orders set forth in this solicitation." Does the Labor Cost Matrix only need to be completed only for the Sample Tasks or does the Matrix need to be completed for all 8 potential years of the contract?	For purposes of initial proposals, 52.215-20 applies to the CPFF sample engineering task only. Please see FAR 2.101 for definitions of the terms "Cost Realism" and "Information Other Than Cost or Pricing Data"

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
71	Section B	Each CLIN has a place for the contractor to fill in the quantity, unit, unit price, and amount; however, there are no detailed requirements in the SOW to quantify these values. How is Section B to be filled in by the contractor for the proposal?	Section B will be provided by the Government.
72	Attachment 4 Estimated Quantities	Please provide the unit prices that were used to generate the budgetary estimates for each of the configurations listed in the Table of Estimated Quantities by System and Fiscal Year in attachment 4.	Internal Government estimates for CALI requirements are considered source selection information and will not be released -- see FAR 2.101 and 3.104
73	Section B	Under which CLIN is SOW 3.4.1 Program Management Office to be bid? What type of contract (CR or FFP) is anticipated for the contractor's PMO support?	SOW paragraph 3.4.1 applies equally to all CALI effort, regardless of individual order type or CLIN
74	Attachment 4 Estimated Quantities	Please provide the technical data packages for all of the configurations listed in the Table of Estimated Quantities by System and Fiscal Year in attachment 4.	Technical data packages will be provided at the individual order level as requirements arise. For purposes of initial proposals, supporting attachments and reference documents are available at https://nserc.navy.mil/peo_c4i/pmw_160/CALI/default.aspx . Please see NSERC registration instructions posted on the SPAWAR e-Commerce site.
75	M-307(c) Factor 4	In the third bullet in the Small Business Utilization paragraph, the Government will evaluate the extent to which the offeror's comprehensive list of proposed first tier subcontractors... are consistent with the proposed Small Business Subcontracting Plan. Are only first tier	Yes, L-316(b)(3)(i)(D)(3) and M-307(c) Factor 4 are limited to first tier subcontractors

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
		subcontractors included in the 30% small business goals? If not, is a larger percentage of first tier subcontractors more desirable than lower tier subcontractors?	
76	B-312 Minimum and Maximum Quantities	This section indicates that a minimum of \$50K in task orders will be issued. When does the Government intend to issue these task orders to fulfill this minimum requirement?	The government will obligate the minimum quantity at contract award consistent with FAR 16.504(a)(1) and GAO legal requirements
77	L-316 Key Personnel	The requirement for Section I-C, part 3 (Key managerial and other personnel proposed for liaison and contract management) is not definitive as to whether resumes for key personnel are required. Please clarify. If resumes are required, request they not be applied toward Technical Volume page count.	For purposes of initial proposals, Offerors need not include resumes for proposed key personnel. Resumes may be required at the individual order level, however.
78	5252.239-9605 Technology Improvements	Is it the government's intention that potential improvements identified by a prime contractor will be awarded to that contractor on a sole-source basis, or will they be competed?	Exceptions to fair opportunity are considered on a case-by-case basis consistent with FAR 16.505(b) and DFARS 216.505-70(b). Also, DFARS Part 227 may be a consideration in this scenario.
79	52.215-20	Does the government intend to offer all Primes the same opportunity for recommendations for potential improvements?	Yes. Unless there is an approved exception to fair opportunity, the Government expects that all RFPs at the individual order level will be consistent with FAR Part 15 in terms of competitive proposals.
80	52.215-20	If 52.215-20 only applies to the Engineering Sample Task, what guidance should be applied to the Production	The sample production task is intended to be firm-fixed-price. Other than page limitation, there is no required format for the sample

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
		Sample Task?	production task cost/price proposal.
81	L-316 Small Business Subcontracting Plan	The combined requirements (Small Business Subcontracting Plan, SF294s for each of the Past Performance sites, DCMA Rating Letter, etc.) could account for over 20% of the total Technical Volume contents. Request that Section D not apply towards Technical Volume page count.	Offerors' response to Small Business Utilization will not count towards the 100 page limit. Please reference revised RFP section L-316.
82	Industry Day	Will the sample task change from the Draft RFP to Final RFP?	The sample tasks remain substantially unchanged
83	Industry Day	Please expand on the required Small Business participation? Are there requirements for 8(a), HUBZone, etc...?	The Small Business goals are defined in Sections L and M of the draft RFP.
84	Industry Day	What are the "Sell-off" criteria?	Inspection and acceptance criteria will be specified at the individual order level.
85	Industry Day	Will you consider having a Small Business prime similar to the upcoming C2 MAC?	The CALI RFP is issued on the basis of full and open competition (FAR 6.1). There is no small business set-aside. Nevertheless, small businesses are certainly welcome to compete for a CALI MAC award.
86	Industry Day	Any expectations on requirements around system management / service management for the variety of R mount servers?	The Government does not expect to award systems management and service management efforts under the CALI contracts
87	Industry Day	Who is the incumbent on CALI?	Currently, racks for ISNS, CENTRIXS-M, and SCI Networks are produced by Lockheed Martin.

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
88	Industry Day	As we build to print, what are the "Sell - off" criteria?	Inspection and acceptance criteria will be specified at the individual order level.
89	Industry Day	Is there an applicable NAICS Code for CALI?	The NAICS Code is 334119, Other Computer Peripheral Equipment Manufacturing, which has a small business size standard of 1,000 employees.
90	Industry Day	What will the primary place(s) of performance? San Diego?	The primary place of performance will depend on which firms win the multiple award contracts. There is no requirement for the contractors to perform the work in San Diego.
91	Industry Day	What is/are the NAICS Code(s) to be used in the Small Business Utilization Plan?	The NAICS Code is 334119, Other Computer Peripheral Equipment Manufacturing, which has a small business size standard of 1,000 employees.
92	Industry Day	Is article B-313, Award Fee calculations tied to the Small Business Utilization Performance?	Award fee evaluation criteria, if used, will be stated within each order or in an ancillary award fee plan. Currently, there are no incentive provisions for exceeding small business subcontracting goals.
93	Industry Day	Section M states Factor 5 (Volume II) Cost/Price is less significant than Factors 1 - 4 (Volume I) Technical Approach. Section L requires proposals for Sample task in Volume I, Section A. Is the sample task costing part of the evaluation of Factor 1 or Factor 5?	Technical evaluation of sample task proposals is part of Technical Approach evaluation subfactors 1.2 and 1.3. Cost/price evaluation of sample task orders is part of the Cost/Price evaluation factor.

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
94	Industry Day	<p>Will the current PMW-160 support contractors be restricted by the OCI clauses? Specifically, will SRA, BAH, Solute, Indus Tech, Tele-Consultants, and X-Feds be Primes or Subcontractors?</p> <p>If so, what will / would preclude the recruiting of “Key Personnel” currently performing on those contracts by a proposer not subject to any OCI clause?</p>	<p>Yes, the named support contractors are restricted by OCI provisions contained in their respective contracts and are ineligible for CALI awards.</p> <p>Additionally, personnel employed by the named support contractors are required to complete individual non-disclosure agreements consistent with FAR 3.104, regardless of OCI provisions</p>
95	Industry Day	Are the MAC award ceilings to be “Awards x \$502M?”	Yes, each multiple award contract includes a maximum quantity of \$502M (\$409M base period and \$93M option period).
96	Industry Day	Will the Option Periods, if awarded, also have a \$502M ceiling?	Each multiple award contract includes a maximum quantity of \$502M (\$409M base period and \$93M option period).
97	Industry Day	Is the anticipated total of all the task orders / delivery orders awarded during the base period estimated at \$502M?	No, the \$502M is the total of the base and option period combined.
98	Industry Day	Will the government continue to use existing rack vendors under the CALI contract?	Yes, unless there is an unmitigable organizational conflict of interest, current rack producers will be allowed to compete for CALI requirements if awarded one of the CALI MACs.
99	Industry Day	What components are common with in the Common Computing Environment (CCE)?	ISNS and SCI Networks rely on the same major host and server racks. The government intends to continue to eliminate configuration discrepancies by standardizing hardware vendors and components within the CCE.

N00039-09-R-0028
CALI DRAFT RFP AND INDUSTRY DAY QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Question	Reference	Comment / Question	Answer
100	Industry Day	Wouldn't it be a good idea to come up with a "best of class" list? More specifically, should there be common processors/ blade servers in place for all networks by the time CANES reaches Full Operational Capability (FOC)?	That would be an "ideal" and something the Government will strive to achieve. The Government is trying to make the transition to CANES as seamless as possible. A common network for all systems is a program office goal.
101	Industry Day	Can you expand on the Build to Print (BTP)?	The level of maturity of each technical data package will be determined at the individual order level.
102	Industry Day	Can a vendor provide alternate solutions to the current BTP?	Many of the technical data packages identify components by brand and model numbers. The government does not wish to retest server environments in a continuous manner. The technical data packages for most racks are reasonably definite, though alternate proposals may be a possibility at the individual order level.
103	Industry Day	Incomplete TDPs will be handled on a case by case basis. The Government will not necessarily go to the original system designer to complete TDPs.	Incomplete technical data packages will be handled on a case by case basis. The Government will not necessarily go to the original system designer to complete technical data packages.
104	Industry Day	Reference Document No 1, NESI ver 1.2.2 dated 6 June 2006 has been superseded by NESI ver 2.2.0 dated 17 June 2008.	Noted. Please reference updated SOW in final RFP.